

THE EARLY UNIVERSE AND THE STANDARD MODEL OF PARTICLE PHYSICS

AFSAR ABBAS

Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar-751005, India
(e-mail : afsar@iopb.res.in)

Abstract

The most well tested and successful model of particle physics is the Standard Model (SM). It is shown here that the restoration of the full SM symmetry (as in the Early Universe) leads to the result that the electric charge loses all physical meaning and hence does not exist above this scale, As a direct consequence of this we show that ‘time’, ‘light’ along with its velocity c and therefore the theory of relativity, all lose any physical meaning. The space-time structure as known to us, disappears in this phase transition. Thus it is hypothesized that the Universe came into existence when the SM symmetry $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ was spontaneously broken to $SU(3)_c \otimes U(1)_{em}$. This does not require any spurious extensions of the SM and in a natural and consistent manner explains the origin of the Universe within the framework of the SM itself. Thus the SM, in addition to all its successes, has within itself, the capability of giving a consistent description of the origin of the Universe.

As the universe expands, it is predicted that it undergoes a series of phase transitions [1] during which the appropriate symmetry breaks down in various stages until it reaches the stage of the electro-weak (EW) symmetry. This is given by the group structure $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$. After $t \sim 10^{-10}$ seconds (at $T \sim 10^2$ GeV) the electro-weak phase transition to $SU(3)_c \otimes U(1)_{em}$ through the Higgs Mechanism takes place. It is the structure of this phase transition that I look into in this paper.

Note that the structure $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_{em}$ forms the basis of the Standard Model (SM) of particle physics. This model has been well studied in the laboratory. It is the best tested model of particle physics [2]. However because of subjectively aesthetic reasons some people feel that this may not be the ultimate model of physics and hence there have been several proposals to go beyond the SM like the GUTs, the Superstring theories etc [2]. One has to however remember that none of these have been shown to be correct by any empirical test in the laboratory. Some of these models are perhaps not even testable.

The idea of the electro-weak phase transition [1,2] is that above some critical temperature T_c^{EW} the full electro -weak symmetry $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ is restored. This restoration implies that now the $SU(2)_L$ gauge particles $W^{+,-}$, W^0 and the $U(1)_Y$ gauge particle B_μ becomes massless. In addition all matter particles e , μ , τ , u-quark etc becomes massless too. All the proposed extensions presumably become operative above this scale. As all these extensions are trying to go beyond the SM and as the SM is the most established and tested model of particle physics, one expects that all these extensions should be fully consistent with the requirements of the SM. Indeed this was so in the early 70's when most of the attempts to go beyond the SM were originating [2]. It was believed then that one of the weaknesses of the SM was that the electric charge was not quantized in it. It was seen as a success of the GUTs concept that the electric charge was found to be automatically quantized therein. Hence as per popular perception the GUTs had all that the SM had plus some more [2].

However, it was as late as 1990 that this was shown to be wrong. Against all expectations, the electric charge was shown to be fully and consistently quantized in the SM [3]. In fact this turns out to be its fundamental property, no less significant than the generation of masses for the gauge particles [3,4]. It had immediate implications for all extensions beyond the SM [5,6,7]. If electric charge quantization in the SM has consequences for other models,

does it have more to say about the nature of things. Here in this paper this is what shall be looked into, and we shall find surprising and basic effects, giving us insight into fundamental aspects of Nature. It is heartening that all this shall arise within the SM, which as of today, has withstood closest scrutiny, both experimental and theoretical.

Let us start by looking at the first generation of quarks and leptons (u, d, e, ν) and assign them to $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ representation as follows [3]

$$\begin{aligned}
q_L &= \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix}_L, (3, 2, Y_q) \\
&u_R; (3, 1, Y_u) \\
&d_R; (3, 1, Y_d) \\
l_L &= \begin{pmatrix} \nu \\ e \end{pmatrix}; (1, 2, Y_l) \\
&e_R; (1, 1, Y_e)
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

To keep things as general as possible this brings in five unknown hypercharges.

Let us now define the electric charge in the most general way in terms of the diagonal generators of $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ as

$$Q' = a'I_3 + b'Y \tag{2}$$

We can always scale the electric charge once as $Q = \frac{Q'}{a'}$ and hence ($b = \frac{b'}{a'}$)

$$Q = I_3 + bY \tag{3}$$

In the SM $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ is spontaneously broken through the Higgs mechanism to the group $SU(3)_c \otimes U(1)_{em}$. In this model the Higgs is assumed to be doublet ϕ with arbitrary hypercharge Y_ϕ . The isospin $I_3 = -\frac{1}{2}$ component of the Higgs develops a nonzero vacuum expectation value $\langle \phi \rangle_o$. Since we want the $U(1)_{em}$ generator Q to be unbroken we require $Q \langle \phi \rangle_o = 0$. This right away fixes b in (3) and we get

$$Q = I_3 + \left(\frac{1}{2Y_\phi}\right)Y \tag{4}$$

Next one requires that the L-handed and the R-handed charges be identical in $U(1)_{em}$ which is a fundamental property of electrodynamics. Also by demanding that the triangular anomaly cancels (to ensure renormalizability of the theory) [3] one obtains all the unknown hypercharge in terms of the unknown Higgs hypercharge Y_ϕ . Ultimately Y_ϕ is cancelled out and one obtain the correct charge quantization as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
q_L &= \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix}_L, Y_q = \frac{Y_\phi}{3}, \\
Q(u) &= \frac{2}{3}, Q(d) = \frac{-1}{3} \\
u_R, Y_u &= \frac{3}{4}Y_\phi, Q(u_R) = \frac{2}{3} \\
d_R, Y_d &= \frac{-2}{3}Y_\phi, Q(d_R) = \frac{-1}{3} \\
l_L &= \begin{pmatrix} \nu \\ e \end{pmatrix}, Y_l = -Y_\phi, Q(\nu) = 0, Q(e) = -1 \\
e_R, Y_e &= -2Y_\phi, Q(e_R) = -1
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

It has been shown [3,4] that for arbitrary N_c the colour dependence of the electric charge as demanded by the SM is

$$\begin{aligned}
Q(u) &= \frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \frac{1}{N_c}\right) \\
Q(d) &= \frac{1}{2}\left(-1 + \frac{1}{N_c}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

One should note that equations (5) and (6) show that the electric charge is quantized in SM. The complete structure of the SM as is, is required to obtain this result on very general grounds. The SM is the best tested model of particle physics. What has this to say about the early universe scenarios available today ?

Clearly the $U(1)_{em}$ symmetry which arose due to spontaneous symmetry breaking due to a Higgs doublet in the EW symmetry will be lost above T_c^{EW} whence $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_{em}$ symmetry would be restored. As is obvious, above T_c^{EW} all the fermions and gauge bosons becomes massless . This properly is

well-known and has been incorporated in cosmological models. Here I point out a new phenomenon arising from the restoring of the full EW symmetry .

Note that to start with the parameter b and Y in equation (3) in the definition of electric charge were completely unknown. We could lay a handle on 'b' entirely on the basis of the presence of spontaneous symmetry breaking and on ensuring that photon was massless $b = \frac{1}{2Y_\phi}$. Above T_c^{EW} where the EW symmetry is restored there is no spontaneous symmetry breaking and hence the parameter b is completely undetermined. Together 'bY' could be any arbitrary number whatsoever even an irrational number. Within the framework of this model above T_c^{EW} we just cannot define electric charges at all. It may be a number which is zero or infinite or an irrational etc. Hence the electric charge given by equation (3) loses any physical meaning all together. This is the new amazing result.

So above T_c^{EW} all the particles have not only become massless, they have forgotten their charges also. It just does not make sense to talk of their charges. The concept of electric charge has been lost. There is no such thing as charge anymore. The photon (which was a linear combination of W^0 and B_μ after spontaneous symmetry breaking) with it's defining vector characteristic does not exist either. So the conclusion is that there is no electrodynamics above T_c^{EW} .

Note that the electric charge in the SM was not an elementary or fundamental object at all. In fact it was a secondary quantity defined in terms of the elementary objects I_3 and Y (see equation(3)). So it should not be really surprising to see it loose it's meaning under special circumstances. The same is true of the photon of $U(1)_{em}$. In short $U(1)_{em}$ owes its existence to SSB and looses it's meaning when the full EW symmetry is restored [8,9].

I have demonstrated that actually electric charge is quantised in SM and when at high temperature the EW symmetry is unbroken, the concept of electric charge does not arise. At those and still higher temperatures ie at still earlier universe [1] extensions like GUTs, Supergravity, Superstrings are believed to be relevant. Note that in all these models, as a generic property charge is always believed to exist and is quantized [8,9]. Quite clearly the existence of electric charge demanded by these models in the very early universe is in conflict with what I have shown that there was no electric charge before about 10^{-10} seconds. Hence all these extensions can not give a valid description of the very early universe [8,9].

All this misunderstanding and confusion arose because we had not understood the electro-weak phase transition correctly. We have already seen that the restoration of the full SM symmetry leads to the result that there is no electric charge above it and also that there was no photon. All the so called hypothetical extensions beyond the SM are already ruled out as shown above. So what remains?

The above result shows that above the electro-weak phase transition there was no electromagnetism. Maxwells equations of electrodynamics show that light is an electromagnetic phenomenon. Hence above the electro-weak phase transition there was no light and no Maxwells equations. And surprisingly we are led to conclude that there was no velocity of light c as well. One knows that the velocity of light c is given as

$$c^2 = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0 \mu_0} \quad (7)$$

where ϵ_0 and μ_0 are permittivity and permeability of the free space. These electromagnetic properties disappear along with the electric charge and hence the velocity of light also disappears above the electro-weak phase transition.

The premise on which the theory of relativity is based is that c , the velocity of light is always the same, no matter from which frame of reference it is measured. Relativity theory also asserts that there is no absolute standard of motion in the Universe. Of fundamental significance is the invariant interval

$$s^2 = (ct)^2 - x^2 - y^2 - z^2 \quad (8)$$

Here the constant c provides us with a means of defining time in terms of spatial separation and vice versa through $l = c t$. this enables one to visualize time as the fourth dimension. Hence time gets defined due to the constant c . Therefore when there were no c as in the early universe ,there was no time as well. Hence above the electro-weak breaking scale there was no time. As the special theory of relativity depends upon c and time, above the electro-weak breaking scale there was no special theory of relativity. As the General Theory of relativity also requires the concept of a physical space-time, it collapses too above the electro-weak breaking scale. Hence the whole physical universe collapses above this scale.

Therefore it is hypothesized here that the Universe came into existence when the electro-weak symmetry was broken spontaneously. Before it there

was no 'time', no 'light', no maximum and constant velocity like 'c', no gravity or space-time structure on which objective physical laws as we know it could exist.

Note that this new picture of the origin of the Universe arises naturally within the best studied and the best verified model of particle physics. It does not require any ad-hoc or arbitrary models or principles. One only has to do a consistent and careful analysis of the hitherto misunderstood electro-weak spontaneous symmetry breaking.

In short we find that the SM of particle physics is not only good to explain all known facts, it is also capable of giving a natural and consistent description of the origin of the Universe. It is beautiful as it is so simple. This work should be seen as further strengthening the SM.

REFERENCES

1. E. W. Kolb and M. S. Turner, " The Early Universe " Edison Wesley, New York (1990)
2. T. P. Cheng and L. F. Lee, " Gauge Theory of Elementary Particle Physics ", Clarendon Press, Oxford (1988)
3. A. Abbas, *Phys. Lett.* , **B 238** (1990) 344
4. A. Abbas, *J. Phys. G.* , **16** (1990) L163
5. A. Abbas, *Nuovo Cimento* , **A 106** (1993) 985
6. A. Abbas, *Hadronic J.*, **15** (1992) 475
7. A. Abbas, *Ind. J. Phys.*, **A 67** (1993) 541
8. A. Abbas, *Physics Today* (July 1999) p.81-82
9. A. Abbas, 'On standard model Higgs and superstring theories' "Particles, Strings and Cosmology PASCOS99", Ed K Cheung, J F Gunion and S Mrenna, World Scientific, Singapore (2000) 123